

2023 Quality Summit - Standard (IATF 16949)

Question For:	
Question (Briefly Stated): Contingency Plans	Answers:
Do we need to perform simulation for each and every line item in the contingency plan?	As stated in 6.1.2.3 Contingency plans, the requirement is for "e)periodically test the contingency plans for effectiveness " based on the risk of associated customer disruption. Simulation is one possible example of how the contingency plans can be tested. The testing is of all the different contingency plans developed by the organization based on the risk and impact on the customer. Therefore, the testing is of the contingency plans developed in response to line item 6.1.2.3 c). All other items are in support of that line item.
Rationale: Risk assessment conducted to identify high risk.	

Question For:	
Question (Briefly Stated): 8.3.3.3	Answers:
SC/CC in measurement report templates	The question is unclear and could not be answered.

Question For:	
Question (Briefly Stated):	Answers:
In regards to calibration standards, is it acceptable to create them in-house vs. purchasing them? Example: coating weight samples for the calibration of coating weight gauges.	If the calibration question is regarding traceability of calibration standards used in internal calibration services (per 7.1.5.3.1 Internal laboratory), then 7.1.5.3.1. c) requires that the standards used for calibration need to meet all the requirements associated with appropriate and traceable calibrations - which includes the standards used for calibration. The standards can be purchased or manufactured, but equally, the standards used must meet all traceability requirements specified for traceability of calibration.

Question For:	
Question (Briefly Stated):	Answers:
Are customer specific requirements, requirements specifically from OEM's connected to clauses in IATF?	Yes. The definition of customer-specific requirements (CSRs) in IATF 16949 states, it is interpretations of or supplemental requirements <u>linked to a specific clause(s) of this Automotive QMS Standard.</u>

Question For:	
Question (Briefly Stated):	Answers:
Is a separate product audit necessary if the manufacturing process contains dimensional and functional measures at appropriate points thru the process with controls to alert if it is not in control? This is done in every run.	Per 9.2.2.4 Product Audit, the intent of the requirement is that products are assessed at appropriate stages to ensure that they continue to meet customer requirements. If regular assessments / measurements / functional tests, etc. are already conducted in support of other requirements, such as production controls, then the intent of the product audits is already met and there is no need for separate product audits - this can be conducted because of the statement in 9.2.2.4: "the organization shall define the approach to be used."